Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/766,883	ITOH, TERUAKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.	(3)	
(2) <u>Alan Kagen (Appl. Rep.)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 March 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 2		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER A minor change in claim 2 was agreed upon in order to place the Amendment.		•
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writton ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)